UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/588,480	04/09/2008	Chul-Sik Yoon	1403-21 PCT US	8209
	7590 10/12/201 L LAW FIRM, LLP	EXAMINER		
290 Broadhollo Suite 210E		SHEN, QUN		
Melville, NY 1	1747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,480	YOON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
QUN SHEN	2617		

QU	JN SHEN	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repl application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	ies: (1) an amendment, affidavit with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later thar may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on and the corresponding amount one condition and the corresponding amount of the conditions are set of the conditions are considered as the conditions are conditional conditions are conditional conditions.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	- 11 07 OFD 44 07	9-1-20-2 (-616 L (6
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensio Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p	prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consid (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			544 5 5
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better f appeal; and/or	orm for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	esponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	ble if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	fore or on the date of filing a No fficient reasons why the affidavit	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a New entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but do see continuation sheet. 	es NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTC13. ☐ Other:	D/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jinsong Hu/	/QUN SHEN/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Examiner, Art Unit 2617		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11:

Applicant's arguments filed in after final remark on September 23, 2010 have been considered but are not persuasive and the previous rejection is maintained. Essentially, applicant argues that Pietraski and Cudak combined teachings do not address every limitation in claim 1 and other independent claims with equivalent features. As indicated in the previous actions, Pietraski teaches limitations a), c) and d). For limitation b) Cudak teaches channel quality information being included in the message to be sent to the base station.